

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1480 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/937,349		09/24/2001	Edwin Johnnus Gerardus De Winter	BO 42517 JGD	7998
466	7590	10/08/2003		EXAM	IINER
YOUNG			YEUNG, GEORGE CHAN PUI		
745 SOUT ARLINGT		TREET 2ND FLOO 22202	R	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	J 2., , , , , ,			1761	

DATE MAILED: 10/08/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/937,349	DE WINTER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	George C Yeung	1761				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
, 	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept	oted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exa	miner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	_is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disappro	oved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/937,349

Art Unit: 1761

DETAILED ACTION

The numbering of claims is not in accordance with 37 CFR 1.126 which requires the original numbering of the claims to be preserved throughout the prosecution. When claims are canceled, the remaining claims must not be renumbered. When new claims are presented, they must be numbered consecutively beginning with the number next following the highest numbered claims previously presented (whether entered or not).

Misnumbered claim 7, first occurrence, has been renumbered as claim 6.

Claims 1-7 are objected to because of the following informalities:

- 1. The phrase "characterized in that" recited in claims 1 and 4-7, and the term "where" recited in claims 2 and 3, line 1 of each, should be changed to -- wherein -- in order to conform to the terminology used in the U.S. claim practice.
- 2. The word "into" recited in claim 5, line 5, is superfluous and it should be deleted.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicants regard as the invention for the following reasons:

1. The terms "may" and "in particular" recited in claim 1, lines 1-2, and the expression "e.g." recited in claim 3, line 2, are indefinite.

Application/Control Number: 09/937,349

Art Unit: 1761

- 2. There is no antecedent basis for "the rise time", "the leading edge", "each imposed voltage pulse" and "the associated electronic relaxation time" recited in claim 1, lines 4-6.
- 3. It is not clear whether the word "which" recited in claim 1, line 6 refers to the rise time, the imposed voltage pulse, or the associated electronic relaxation time.
- 4. There is no antecedent basis for "the cellular structures" recited in claims 2 and 3, line 1 of each.
- 5. There is no antecedent basis for "the membrane of such structures" recited in claim 3, lines 3-4.
- 6. The phrase "the preceding claims" recited in claim 4, line 1 is improper.

 The change of this phrase to -- any of the preceding claim would obviate this rejection.
- 7. Claims 5-7 are rejected as being improper dependent claims because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from another multiple dependent claim, either directly or indirectly. See MPEP section 608.01(n).
- 8. There is no antecedent basis for "the bulk product" recited in claim 6, last line.
- 9. There is also no antecedent basis for "the process" recited in claim 7, line3.

Claims 1-7 are free of the prior art. Claims 1-7 would be allowable if amended to overcome the objections and the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112 set forth in this Office action.

Application/Control Number: 09/937,349

Art Unit: 1761

The Takeuchi et al patent is cited to show a dielectric heating device for heating a sandwich between a pair of electrodes. The Simpson patent is cited to show an apparatus for heating electrically conductive flowable media such as foodstuffs.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George C. Yeung whose telephone number is (703) 308-3848. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 10:30 AM to 7:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on (703) 308-3959. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

G. C. Yeung/mn October 1, 2003

GEORGE C.YEUNG PRIMARY EXAMINER